**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**
**CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**
**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**
**CIVIL APPLICATION NO.2577 OF 2005**
**IN**
**FIRST APPEAL ST.NO.9229 OF 2005**

.....

| Office Notes,Office memorandum of Coram, appearances,Court's orders or directions & Registrar's orders. | Court's or Judge's orders |
|---|---|

.....

Mr.R.D.Dave i/b M/s.H.H.Vishnawa & Co. for the Applicant

**CORAM: A.S.OKA, J.**
**DATED: MARCH 22, 2006.**

**P.C.:**

1. Heard Advocate for the Applicant. Office noting shows that the Respondent Nos.1,4 and 7 are duly served with the notice. None appears for the said Respondents. The Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit of service which discloses that the Respondent Nos.2 and 3 have refused to accept service of notice. Statement made in the Affidavit of service is accepted. Office noting shows that the Respondent Nos.5 and 6 are deleted.

2. Sufficient cause is made out for condonation of delay. Application is allowed in terms of prayer clause (a).

3. As the names of Respondent Nos.5 and 6 are deleted from the cause title of the Application, it is made clear that the delay is not condoned as against the said Respondents.

4. Office will assign appropriate date for hearing as to admission of the Appeal.

**Judge.**